

# In situ Cobalt–Cobalt Oxide/N-Doped Carbon Hybrids As Superior Bifunctional Electrocatalysts for Hydrogen and Oxygen Evolution

Haiyan Jin, Jing Wang, Diefeng Su, Zhongzhe Wei, Zhenfeng Pang, and Yong Wang\*

Advanced Materials and Catalysis Group, ZJU-NHU United R&D Center, Center for Chemistry of High-Performance and Novel Materials, Key Lab of Applied Chemistry of Zhejiang Province, Department of Chemistry, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou 310028, P. R. China

**Supporting Information** 

**ABSTRACT:** Remarkable hydrogen evolution reaction (HER) or superior oxygen evolution reaction (OER) catalyst has been applied in water splitting, however, utilizing a bifunctional catalyst for simultaneously generating  $H_2$  and  $O_2$  is still a challenging issue, which is crucial for improving the overall efficiency of water electrolysis. Herein, inspired by the superiority of carbon conductivity, the propitious H atom binding energy of metallic cobalt, and better OER activity of cobalt oxide, we synthesized cobalt–cobalt oxide/N-doped carbon hybrids (CoO<sub>x</sub>@CN) composed of Co<sup>0</sup>, CoO, Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> applied to HER and OER by simple one-pot thermal treatment method. CoO<sub>x</sub>@CN exhibited a small onset potential of 85 mV, low charge-transfer resistance (41  $\Omega$ ), and considerable stability for HER. Electrocatalytic experiments further



indicated the better performance of  $CoO_x@CN$  for HER can be attributed to the high conductivity of carbon, the synergistic effect of metallic cobalt and cobalt oxide, the stability of carbon-encapsulated Co nanoparticles, and the introduction of electron-rich nitrogen. In addition, when used as catalysts of OER, the  $CoO_x@CN$  hybrids required 0.26 V overpotential for a current density of 10 mA cm<sup>-2</sup>, which is comparable even superior to many other non-noble metal catalysts. More importantly, an alkaline electrolyzer that approached ~20 mA cm<sup>-2</sup> at a voltage of 1.55 V was fabricated by applying  $CoO_x@CN$  as cathode and anode electrocatalyst, which opened new possibilities for exploring overall water splitting catalysts.

# **INTRODUCTION**

Splitting water into hydrogen and oxygen to store light or electric energy in the form of chemical bonds has stimulated intense research due to accelerated depletion of fossil fuels.<sup>1-5</sup> The water-splitting reaction can be divided into two halfreactions: the hydrogen evolution reaction (HER) and the oxygen evolution reaction (OER), both of which are crucial for the overall efficiency of water splitting.<sup>6-8</sup> To increase the reaction rate and lower the overpotential, many superior catalysts have been explored toward HER and OER.9,10 Currently, state-of-the-art hydrogen evolution catalysts consist of platinum-based materials.<sup>11–13</sup> However, the widespread application of Pt is limited by its scarcity and high cost, and thus the development of non-noble metal, metal corposites, and even metal-free electrocatalysts<sup>14,15</sup> remains a crucial task. Such alternatives typically include  $MoS_{2^{16-21}} MoC$ ,<sup>22-24</sup>  $WS_{2^{25}} NiMoN$ ,<sup>26</sup>  $C_3N_{4^{27}} C_3N_4 @NG$ ,<sup>28</sup> etc., which function well in acidic media, but underperform in alkaline electrolyte. Generating hydrogen by electrolysis in alkaline media has been utilized for a number of years,<sup>29,30</sup> and it also offers high purity hydrogen (nearly 100%) for a moderate energy input.<sup>31</sup> Moreover, many catalysts present superior catalytic activity for OER in basic solution,<sup>32,33</sup> it is imperative to explore highly efficient HER catalysts in alkaline media to achieve the overall alkaline water electrolysis. As previously reported, metal Co has been calculated to have a low energy barrier for H

adsorption,<sup>34-37</sup> thus Co-based composites may be promising catalysts for HER. Actually, many Co-based materials have been designed to catalyze the HER, including, for example, Co-NCNT, <sup>38</sup>  $COP_4N_{22}$ <sup>39</sup> cobalt corrole, <sup>40</sup> Co-coordination compound.<sup>41,42</sup> However, these catalysts always face the unsatisfactory catalytic properties for HER, thus it is highly necessary to design efficient Co-based catalysts for electrocatalytic hydrogen production. To realize the overall water splitting, highly active OER catalysts also need to be developed. It has been reported that compounds of earth-abundant cobalt (for example Co3O4) perform better toward OER.43-48 However, the easy accumulation and low conductivity of pure Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> decrease the active sites and hamper the transport of electrons or protons during the oxidation process.<sup>49-52</sup> In contrast, porous carbon support with high electric conductivity, large surface area,<sup>53-55</sup> structural flexibility<sup>56</sup> and strong tolerance to acid/alkaline environments is technically desirable to derive advanced Co-based hybrid catalysts.<sup>57–59</sup> Therefore, the combination of cobalt oxide and carbon can be excellent catalysts for OER, such as Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>/N-rmGO,<sup>60</sup> CoO-N-CG,<sup>46</sup> ZnCo-LDH,<sup>61</sup> NG-NiCo,<sup>62</sup> etc.

Despite that remarkable HER or superior OER catalysts can be applied in water splitting, utilizing a bifunctional catalyst for

Received: December 15, 2014 Published: February 6, 2015

O<sub>2</sub> evolution and H<sub>2</sub> generation is still a challenging issue and scarcely reported, which is a crucial thought for designing overall water splitting catalysts.<sup>63,64</sup> Herein, inspired by the superiority of carbon, the low H atom binding energy of metallic cobalt, and better OER activity of cobalt oxide, we synthesized cobalt-cobalt oxide/N-doped carbon hybrids  $(CoO_x @CN)$  simultaneously applied to HER and OER by simple one-pot thermal treatment method. CoO, @CN exhibited a small onset potential of 85 mV and low HER overpotential 235 mV to reach a current density of 10 mA cm<sup>-2</sup> in 1 M KOH solution. Moreover, it also offered low chargetransfer resistance (41  $\Omega$ ) and considerable stability for HER. Electrocatalytic experiments further indicated the better performance of CoO<sub>x</sub>@CN for HER mainly origined from the high conductivity of carbon, the synergistic effect of metallic cobalt and cobalt oxide, the stability of carbon encapsulated Co nanoparticles (NPs), and the introduction of electron-rich nitrogen. In addition, when used as non-noble-metal catalysts of OER, the CoO<sub>x</sub>@CN hybrids required 0.26 V overpotential for a current density of 10 mA cm<sup>-2</sup>. Impressively, the catalytic activity of CoO<sub>r</sub>@CN for OER remarkably improved after operating a hydrogen production reaction for 30 min, which was attributed to the improvement of the percentage of Co<sup>2+</sup>. More importantly, an alkaline electrolyzer that approached  $\sim 20$ mA cm<sup>-2</sup> at a voltage of 1.55 V was fabricated by applying  $CoO_x \otimes CN$  as cathode and anode electrocatalyst, which brought us a new idea for designing overall water splitting catalysts.

#### EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

**Materials.** Melamine (M), D(+)-glucosamine hydrochloride (NG), glucose (G), cobalt(II) nitrate hexahydrate (CoNO<sub>3</sub>·6H<sub>2</sub>O), potassium hydroxide (KOH), 5 wt % Nafion 117 solution, cobalt (II, III) oxide (Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>, 99.99% metals), cobalt (II) oxide (CoO, 99.99% metals), and cobalt (99.99% metals) were purchased from Aladdin. Commercial active carbon (AC) was gifted by ZHEJIANG NHU CO., Ltd. All were used as received without further purification.

Synthesis of Materials. The synthesis of  $CoO_x @CN$  was depicted in Scheme S1. Melamine (40 g) was mixed with cobalt(II) nitrate hexahydrate (CoNO<sub>3</sub>·6H<sub>2</sub>O) (0.95 g), D(+)-glucosamine hydrochloride (1 g), and 400 mL water, then the homogeneous mixture was heated at 80 °C in an oil bath upon stirring overnight to remove the water. After that the dry mixture was grinded finely. The homogeneous compound was placed in the crucible and calcined at 600 °C in a type furnace for 1 h in N<sub>2</sub> atmosphere. The parent material placed was further raised to 800 °C at a ramp of 2.5 °C min<sup>-1</sup> and kept at 800 °C for 1 h in N2 atmosphere. For comparative studies and to obtain some insights into the structure electrocatalytic activity relationships of  $CoO_x @CN$ , more samples were prepared in N<sub>2</sub> atmosphere. The preparation of  $CoO_x @AC$  was similar to  $CoO_x @$ CN, except that the original materials were active carbon and CoNO<sub>3</sub>.  $6H_2O. CoO_x @C (non-nitrogen)$  was synthesized by applying glucose as carbon source, similar to  $CoO_x @CN$ . Furthermore, the fabrication of CN (melamine and D(+)-glucosamine hydrochloride as feedstock without  $CoNO_3 \cdot 6H_2O$ ) was the same as  $CoO_x \otimes CN$ , except the raw materials. After CoO<sub>x</sub>@CN was treated with 6 M HCl for 96 h, we obtained Co@CN, which was composed of metal Co without cobalt oxide.

**Characterization.** The Co content was measured by ICP-AES (IRIS Intrepid II XSP, Thermo Fisher Scientific, USA). The Co contents of  $CoO_x@CN$  and  $CoO_x@AC$  were 29.6% and 27.5%, respectively. Elemental analysis was performed by Elementary Varo MACRO. The content of nitrogen of  $CoO_x@CN$  was 1.66%. TEM (Model JEM-1230, JEOL Co. Ltd., Japan) characterization was operated at an accelerating voltage of 80 kV. The diffraction data were collected at room temperature with  $2\theta$  scan range between 10°

and 80° using a wide-angle X-ray diffraction (Model D/tex-Ultima TV, 1.6 kV, Rig-aku, Japan) equipped with Cu K $\alpha$  radiation (1.54 Å). The X-ray photoelectron spectra were obtained with an ESCALAB MARK II spherical analyzer using a magnesium anode (Mg 1253.6 eV) X-ray source. All XPS spectra were corrected using the C 1s line at 284.5 eV, and curve fitting and background subtraction were accomplished. The scanning electron microscope (SEM) images were obtained with Zeiss Sigma field emission SEM (Model 8100). The Brunauer–Emmett–Teller (BET) surface areas and porous structure of the samples were measured by using micromeritics ASAP 2020 HD88.

Electrochemical Measurements. The electrochemical tests were carried out in a conventional three electrode electrochemical cell by using a CHI750E. The electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) measurements were carried out by applying Gamry reference 600 instrument. A commercial glassy carbon electrode (GCE, 5 mm diameter, 0.196 cm<sup>2</sup>) was served as the working electrode. The presented current density referred to the geometric surface area of the GCE. A saturated calomel electrode (SCE) and Pt plate were used as the reference electrode and the counter electrode, respectively. The preparation of the working electrode was performed as described below: ethanol suspensions containing 500  $\mu$ L ethanol, 3 mg of catalyst, and 50  $\mu \bar{\rm L}$  5 wt % Nafion solutions were obtained by ultrasonic mixing for about 30 min. The 15  $\mu$ L of the catalyst ink suspension thus obtained was coated onto the polished GC electrode, and then it was left to dry in air (this gave a catalyst loading of ~0.42 mg cm<sup>-2</sup> and metal loading of  $\sim 0.12$  mg cm<sup>-2</sup> on the GCE). For alkaline electrolytic cell, foam Ni  $(1 \times 1 \text{ cm})$  was utilized as working electrode to reach a high catalyst loading. Eight mg catalyst and 1 mL polytetrafluoroethylene ethanol dispersion solution  $(1 \text{ mg mL}^{-1})$  were mixed well by ultrasonicating for 20 min. Then, the uniform suspension was dropped on foam Ni and left to dry in infrared lamp (this yielded an approximate metal loading of  $\sim$ 2.1 mg cm<sup>-2</sup> on Ni foam). The potential, measured against a SCE electrode, was converted to the potential versus the reversible hydrogen electrode (RHE) according to  $E_{\rm vs\ RHE} = E_{\rm vs\ SCE} + E^{\theta}_{\rm SCE} + 0.059$  pH. Electrochemical measurements of catalysts were measured in 1 M KOH solution (pH = 14) after purging the electrolyte with  $N_2$  gas for 30 min at 25 °C. To compare the influence of the structure and component of catalysts to HER and OER activities, the electrocatalytic activities of other catalysts were measured under similar conditions, and the corresponding electrocatalytic results are included in the main text.

#### RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

As illustrated in Scheme S1, the synthesis of  $CoO_{x}$ @CN was achieved by simple thermal treatment of the inexpensive starting materials (Melamine,  $CoNO_3 \cdot 6H_2O$  and D(+)glucosamin hydrochloride) at 800 °C in N2 atmosphere. The flake-like morphology of the resulting hybrids is observed in the SEM image (Figure 1a) and the TEM image (Figure 1b). Since melamine can form sheets<sup>65,66</sup> by thermal decomposition, the production of cobalt-cobalt oxide/N-doped carbon sheets may arise from melamine. The TEM image of  $CoO_x @CN$  in Figure 1b indicates the formed cobalt NPs are uniformly dispersed on N-doped carbon sheets. The size distribution histogram in the inset of Figure 1b demonstrates that these CoO<sub>x</sub> NPs have average size of 12.3 nm. The high-resolution (HR) TEM image in Figure 1c clearly reveals that lattice fringe spaces of 2.89 and 4.68 Å are consistent with the (220) and (111) plane of cubic Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> spinel-phase, respectively, and also uncovers the resolved lattice fringes of CoO (111) planes with a spacing of 2.47 Å. Metallic cobalt NPs with interplanar distance of 2.05 Å correspond to (111) plane of  $Co^0$  as indicated in the HRTEM in Figure 1d. It is evident that  $Co^0$  is embedded in Ndoped carbon architecture and surrounded by a few graphitic carbon layers, which is favorable to the stability of catalysts.<sup>67</sup> Scanning TEM energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (STEM-



**Figure 1.** (a) SEM images of  $\text{CoO}_{x}$ @CN; (b) TEM image of  $\text{CoO}_{x}$ @CN with the inset showing the corresponding particle-size distribution histogram; (c) HRTEM image of  $\text{Co}_3\text{O}_4$  and CoO on CN; (d) HRTEM image of metallic Co embedded in CN (the pointed parts by arrows represent the graphitic carbon layers); (e) XRD patterns; and (f) high-resolution deconvoluted  $\text{Co}_{2p}$  spectrum (Co<sup>0</sup>, Co<sup>2+</sup>, and Co<sup>3+</sup>) of CoO<sub>x</sub>@CN.

EDS) elemental mapping was employed to obtain elemental distribution of Co, C, N, and O in the hybrids (Figure S1a-e), verifying the uniform dispersion of four elements. CoO<sub>r</sub>@CN was further characterized by the power X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns in Figure 1e. The diffraction peak at 26.1° suggests the formation of graphitic carbon during the pyrolysis. The peaks at 19.06°, 31.27°, 36.9°, 59.5°, and 65.4° can be ascribed to  $Co_3O_4$ , and the peaks at 42.5° and 61.8° are assigned to CoO, with 44.3° and 51.5° belonging to Co<sup>0</sup>, which coincides with the HRTEM image, both indicating the existence of Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>, CoO, and Co<sup>0</sup>. Furthermore, the presence of cobalt oxide and metallic cobalt is also confirmed by X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) (Figure 1f). As manifested in Figure 1f, the two core-level signals of Co in  $CoO_x$ @CN located at ~780 and 796 eV are attributed to  $Co_{2p3/2}$  and  $Co_{2p1/2}$ , respectively. After deconvolution, the peaks around 780 and 795.7 eV are assigned to Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> phase, while those around 778.5 and 793.0 eV corresponding to  $Co^0$ . Integral data show the ratio of  $Co^{3+}/$  $Co^{2+}$  is 1.78, and  $Co^{0}$  occupies 15.2%. The N<sub>1s</sub> spectrum of  $CoO_x @CN$  in Figure S2 can be deconvoluted into five types of nitrogen species, which are attributed to pyridinic, pyrollic, quaternary, oxidized, and chemisorbed nitrogen atoms according to their binding energies. All of these observations manifest that cobalt-cobalt oxide/N-doped carbon hybrids have been prepared successfully through one-pot annealing method.

The electrocatalytic activity of  $CoO_x @CN$  for HER on a GCE was evaluated in 1 M KOH solution using a typical three electrode system. Polarization curves were obtained from linear

sweep voltammetry (LSV) measurements with a sweep rate of 5 mV s<sup>-1</sup>. All HER and OER data have been corrected based on impedance spectroscopy. Compared to the original data, the variation of the IR-corrected data for  $CoO_x@CN$  toward HER is evident as seen in Figure S3. In Figure 2a,  $CoO_x@CN$ 



**Figure 2.** (a) LSV curves and (b) Nyquist plots (overpotential = 250 mV) of  $CoO_x@CN$  and  $CoO_x@AC$  on GCE; (c) LSV curves of  $CoO_x@CN$  on Ni foam; and (d) time dependence of the current density under a constant overpotential of 350 mV of  $CoO_x@CN$ . (All tests are for HER.)

exhibits a small onset potential (Figure S4) of 85 mV vs RHE and achieves current density of 10 mA cm<sup>-2</sup> at overpotential of 232 mV, whereas CoO, @AC demands 270 mV overpotential to reach a current density of 10 mA cm<sup>-2</sup>. These values for  $CoO_r @CN$  are superior to the behavior of other non-noblemetal HER catalysts in alkaline media, such as amorphous  $MoS_x^{19}$  Ni,<sup>68</sup> MnNi, Co-NRCNTs,<sup>38</sup> NiMoN<sub>x</sub>/C,<sup>26</sup> MoO<sub>3</sub>-MoS<sub>2</sub><sup>20</sup>, etc. (Table S1). Moreover, the overpotential of 232 mV is comparable to the activity of  $\mbox{MoB}^{23}$  and  $\mbox{MoC}^{69,70}$ (Table S1). As blank control group, bare GCE shows almost no HER performance. Figure S5 presents the optical photograph of the obvious hydrogen bubbles generated on the CoO<sub>r</sub>@CN catalyst modified on GCE during the current-time measurements, revealing the production of many hydrogen bubbles on GCE. The influence of different loadings for CoO<sub>x</sub>@CN on HER activity is also investigated. As expected, higher loadings for CoO<sub>x</sub>@CN result in a better performance for hydrogen production (Figure S6). However, the catalysts cannot perform even better when the metal loading weight overtakes 0.12 mg  $cm^{-2}$  due to partial covered active sites (Figure S6).

To investigate the electrode kinetics under HER process, the EIS measurements were carried out from 100 MHz to 0.01 Hz at an overpotential of 250 mV. The semicircles in the high- and low-frequency range of the Nyquist plot attributed to the charge-transfer resistance  $R_{\rm CT}$  and solution resistance  $R_{\rm S}$ , respectively, are related to the electrocatalytic kinetics and a lower value corresponds to a faster reaction rate.<sup>71</sup> Similarly, smaller  $R_{\rm CT}$  of 41  $\Omega$  is realized on  ${\rm CoO}_x$ @CN compared to  ${\rm CoO}_x$ @AC (85  $\Omega$ ), consistent with the lower overpotential. The superior activity of  ${\rm CoO}_x$ @CN to  ${\rm CoO}_x$ @AC for HER in alkaline media may arise from the bigger pore diameter which could yield easier mass transportation<sup>72,73</sup> (Figure S7 and Table S2). When  ${\rm CoO}_x$ @CN is supported on Ni foam with a loading weight of 2.1 mg cm<sup>-2</sup>, it presents a small overpotential of 134 mV to reach an current density of 20 mA cm<sup>-2</sup> and shows a

## Journal of the American Chemical Society

large cathode current density of 83 mA cm<sup>-2</sup> at  $\eta = 200$  mV, which is about 12 times that of pure commercial Ni foam (7 mA cm<sup>-2</sup>) applied as industrial alkaline water electrolysis<sup>36,74</sup> in 1 M KOH in Figure 2c. Furthermore, CoO<sub>x</sub>@CN exhibits a considerable overpotential of 280 mV at 10 mA  $\rm cm^{-2}$  even in neutral solution (Figure S8). Besides the HER activity, stability is another significant criterion to evaluate an advanced electrocatalyst.<sup>75</sup> To probe the durability of CoO<sub>2</sub>@CN under media condition, continuous HER at static overpotential was conducted. As shown in Figure 2d, the as-measured timedependent curve at an overpotential of 350 mV is in typical serrate shape due to the alternate processes of bubble accumulation and bubble release.<sup>76</sup> The current density exhibits negligible degradation even after a long period of 6000 s. The retained activity of  $CoO_r @CN$  is ascribed to the protected  $Co^0$ which embedded in carbon architecture, meanwhile, the slight degradation of activity may derive from the consumption of  $OH^-$  or the variation of valence state of  $CoO_{y}$ .

To compare the influence of the structure and component of catalysts to HER activity, different component materials (Co@ CN, CoO<sub>x</sub>@C, and CN) were prepared, and their catalytic properties were also investigated detailly. The characteristic peaks of Co<sup>0</sup> can only be observed from the XRD pattern of Co@CN (Figure 3a, red line), indicating most of cobalt oxide



Figure 3. (a) XRD patterns of  $CoO_x@CN$ , Co@CN, and  $CoO_x@C$ ; (b) and (c) LSV curves of different catalysts; (d) Nyquist plots of Co and Co@CN (overpotential = 250 mV). (All tests are for HER.)

has been removed by acid and the carbon-encapsulated Co<sup>0</sup> is reserved. CoO<sub>x</sub>@CN consists of nitrogen-doped carbon, metallic cobalt, and cobalt oxide, so which component is the active site or plays a leading role? CoO<sub>x</sub>@CN demonstrates the best catalytic performance for HER among four catalysts as shown in Figure 3b. Co@CN mainly including Co<sup>0</sup> presents excellent activity toward HER, revealing the pivotal effect of Co<sup>0</sup> as active sites.<sup>67</sup> CoO<sub>x</sub>@CN including Co<sup>0</sup>, CoO, and Co3O4 obviously outperforms Co@CN with little CoO and  $Co_3O_4$ , making it clear that cobalt oxide also plays some degree of catalytic role for HER. Moreover, Co<sup>0</sup> and Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> exhibit remarkably larger cathode current density for HER than CoO in Figure 3c, further verifying the catalytic effect of Co<sup>0</sup> and Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> as active species toward HER. Therefore, we tentatively propose that metal Co and cobalt oxide may be synergistic active sites for HER catalysis based on the above results. The HER process could be through the Volmer–Tafel process or Volmer–Heyrovsky pathway in basic media.<sup>78</sup>

$$\begin{split} H_2O + e^- &\rightarrow H_{ads} + OH^- \text{ (Volmer)} \\ H_{ads} + H_{ads} &\rightarrow H_2 \text{ (Tafel)} \end{split} \tag{1}$$

$$\begin{split} H_2O + e^- &\rightarrow H_{ads} + OH^- \mbox{ (Volmer)} \\ H_{ads} + H_2O + e^- &\rightarrow H_2 + OH^- \mbox{ (Heyrovsky)} \end{split} \eqno(2)$$

Both pathways involve the adsorption of H<sub>2</sub>O molecule, the dissociation of adsorbed H<sub>2</sub>O into adsorbed H atom and OH<sup>-</sup>, desorption of OH<sup>-</sup> to refresh the surface, and the transformation of adsorbed H into H<sub>2</sub>. As previously reported, Co metal has been calculated to have a proper binding energy for H atom (close to Pt),<sup>35,36,79</sup> and CoO and  $Co_3O_4$  can be hydroxylated to dissociation water.<sup>80,81</sup> Thus, in our hybrids-CoOx@CN composed of Co<sup>0</sup>, CoO, and Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>, the OH<sup>-</sup> generated by H<sub>2</sub>O decomposition could preferentially attach to CoO and  $Co_3O_4$  surface due to strong electrostatic affinity to the locally positively charged Co<sup>2+</sup> and Co<sup>3+</sup> species, while a nearby Co site would facilitate H adsorption, completing the Volmer process and imparting the synergistic effect to metal Co and cobalt oxide for HER. The Tafel test of  $CoO_r @CN$  was conducted in 1 M KOH solution. As shown in Figure S4, the resulting Tafel plot is fit to the Tafel equation  $(\eta = b \log i + a)$ , where j is the current density and b is the Tafel slope), yielding a Tafel slope of 115 mV dec<sup>-1</sup> for  $CoO_x @CN$ . The mechanism of hydrogen evolution in basic solution is not fully clear, but the Tafel slope of  $CoO_x @CN$  under basic conditions reveals that the HER may be controlled by a Volmer-Heyrovsky mechanism because the Tafel slope is practically identical to that for smooth Ni (ca. 120 mV  $\tilde{d}ec^{-1}$ ).

CN without cobalt oxide exhibits the negligible activity for HER, implying that CN may be not the active site for HER. However, the conductivity and large surface area of CN for the contribution to HER are not overlooked. First, the pure metal Co produces larger charge-transfer resistance (200  $\Omega$ ) than Co@CN (85  $\Omega$ ) in Figure 3d, indicating the intrinsic high conductive carbon facilitates the fast electron transfer.<sup>83</sup> Besides that, the accumulation of cobalt oxide supported on nitrogendoped carbon with large surface area is avoided, and Co NPs are distributed on CN uniformly, producing more exposured active sites to HER. As indicated in Figure S9, CoO<sub>x</sub>@CN has a large double-layer capacitance (37.4 mF cm<sup>-2</sup>).<sup>84</sup> Finally, the protected Co NPs incorporated in carbon structure sustain the stability of CoO, @CN for HER (Figure 1d, Figure 2d). Moreover,  $CoO_r @CN$  with nitrogen presents obviously higher activity for HER compared to  $CoO_r @C$  (non-nitrogen) in Figure 3b, hence the effect of nitrogen is also further investigated. As shown in the XRD pattern of Figure 3a, the diffraction peaks of Co<sup>0</sup> are almost invisible, and more characteristic peaks of Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> occur in the nitrogen-free catalyst-CoO<sub>x</sub>@C. In contrast, the diffraction peaks of Co<sup>0</sup> in  $CoO_x @CN$  are highly evident, suggesting that the incorporation of nitrogen is beneficial to the reduction of  $Co^{2+}$  to  $Co^{0-}$ due to the electron-donating effect of nitrogen.<sup>85,86</sup> Hence, the preferable catalytic activity of  $CoO_r @CN$  to  $CoO_r @C$  may originate from the introduction of nitrogen by increasing the percentage of Co<sup>0</sup>, again confirming the crucial role of Co<sup>0</sup> served as catalytic species toward HER in basic solution.

In general, the above discussions indicate that the remarkable catalytic performance of  $CoO_x@CN$  could be attributed to the synergistic effect of metal Co and cobalt oxide, high conductive and large surface area carbon, and electron-rich nitrogen.

The catalytic ability of  $CoO_x @CN$  to oxygen evolution reaction was also assessed in 1 M KOH solution. The OER catalytic performance of  $CoO_x @CN$  was first examined by linear scan voltammograms. As shown in Figure 4a, the  $CoO_x @CN$ 



**Figure 4.** LSV curves of (a)  $CoO_x@CN$  supported on Ni foam and (b)  $CoO_x@CN$ , R- $CoO_x@CN$  ( $CoO_x@CN$  after 30 min HER) and  $Co_3O_4$  loaded on GCE; (c) time dependence of the current density of  $CoO_x@CN$  and R- $CoO_x@CN$  under a constant overpotential of 0.35 V; (d) linear sweep curves of  $Co^0$ , CoO and  $Co_3O_4$ . (All tests are for OER).

CN supported on Ni foam requires overpotential of 0.26 V for a current density of 10 mA cm<sup>-2</sup>, which is comparable and even superior to  $Co_3O_4/N$ -rmGO,<sup>60</sup> NG-NiCo,<sup>62</sup> Zn<sub>x</sub>Co<sub>3-x</sub>O<sub>4</sub>,<sup>47</sup> N-CG-CoO,<sup>46</sup> etc. (Table S3). Furthermore,  $CoO_x @CN$  only requires a small overpotential of 0.30 V to reach a current density of 10 mA  $\rm cm^{-2}$  even in neutral solution in Figure S10. As observed in Figure 4b, when CoO<sub>x</sub>@CN loaded on GCE, its catalytic activity compares favorably to the behavior of pure  $Co_3O_4$ . Impressively, the R-CoO<sub>x</sub>@CN (CoO<sub>x</sub>@CN after half an hour HER) exhibits a significant larger current density than CoO, @CN. In addition, the catalytic performance of R- $CoO_x @CN$  for oxygen evolution exceeds  $CoO_x @CN$  even after 1600 s as observed in Figure 4c. The reasons for the enhanced electrocatalytic activity of R-CoOr@CN relative to CoO<sub>x</sub>@CN are elaborated. The Co<sub>2p</sub> XPS spectrum of R- $CoO_x @CN$  (Figure S11a and Table S4) shows the bigger ratio of  $Co^{3+}/Co^{2+}$  than  $CoO_{x}$  (@CN). In other words, the percentage of  $Co^{2+}$  in R-CoO<sub>x</sub>@CN increases after HER, concluding that the relatively higher Co<sup>2+</sup> yields the better catalytic ability to OER. Therefore, with the increase of the ratio of  $Co^{3+}/Co^{2+}$ , the activity of CoOx@CN for OER decreases slowly, as indicated in Figure 4c. The notably improved catalytic performance of CoO including Co<sup>2+</sup> for OER in comparison to Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> and Co further confirms that the preferable catalytic efficiency of R-CoO<sub>x</sub>@CN arises from the increased Co<sup>2+</sup> (Figure 4d). Similarly, in Figure S11b and Table S4, the percentage of Co<sup>3+</sup> in O-CoO<sub>x</sub>@CN (CoO<sub>x</sub>@CN after 30 min OER) raises after continuously generating oxygen due to the partial oxidation of Co<sup>2+</sup>.

Based on the above explanations, we could safely deduce that  $CoO_x@CN$  hybrids are highly efficient, non-noble metal-based bifunctional electrocatalysts for HER and OER in alkaline media. Accordingly, we make an electrolyzer with 1 M KOH solution as media by applying  $CoO_x@CN$  as the water reduction catalyst and R- $CoO_x@CN$  as the water oxidation catalyst. Water electrolysis with current density of 20 mA cm<sup>-2</sup>



NiFe LDH cell,<sup>78</sup> is observed at room temperature (Figure 5a),

**Figure 5.** (a) LSV of water electrolysis using original  $CoO_x@CN$  as HER catalyst and  $CoO_x@CN$  after HER as OER catalyst (both loaded into Ni foam at a loading of 2 mg cm<sup>-2</sup> based on the active mass) in 1 M KOH, with the inset showing the stability test of electrolyzer at 10 mA cm<sup>-2</sup>. (b) Optical photograph showing the generation of hydrogen and oxygen bubbles on Ni foam.

and the obvious hydrogen and oxygen bubbles form on Ni foam (Figure 5b). Stability is an important criterion to evaluate an electrolytic cell. The durability of electrolyzer was carried out at 10 mA cm<sup>-2</sup> in 1 M KOH solution at 25 °C. As observed in the inset of Figure 5a, the cell exhibits considerable stability in nearly 3 h. Notably, there are few reports about splitting water into H<sub>2</sub> and O<sub>2</sub> using a nonprecious catalyst with different valence state metals as anode and cathode, which paves a new pathway for designing overall water splitting catalysts.

## CONCLUSIONS

In conclusion, cobalt-cobalt oxide/N-doped carbon sheets hybrids  $(CoO_x @CN)$  have been synthesized successfully through easily scalable and cost-effective one-pot annealing method. CoO<sub>x</sub>@CN exhibited superior bifunctional catalytic efficiency for HER and OER. The excellent HER catalytic ability of  $CoO_x @CN$  hybrids could be attributed to the following four reasons: (1) the uniformly distributed Co NPs supported on high surface area carbon enabled the full use of active sites of catalyst, and the high conductivity of carbon was favorable to the transfer of electron; (2) the protected carbonencapsulated Co NPs sustained the stability; (3) the synergistic effect of metallic cobalt and cobalt oxide; and (4) the incorporation of nitrogen into carbon architecture improved the catalytic activity by increasing the proportion of Co<sup>0</sup> due to the electron-donating effects. The improved catalytic ability of CoO. @CN after HER toward OER arose from the improved percentage of Co<sup>2+</sup>. When applied as water electrolysis catalyst,  $CoO_r @CN$  reached a current density of 20 mA cm<sup>-2</sup> at 1.55 V. It is scarcely reported that splitting water into  $H_2$  and  $O_2$  using a nonprecious catalyst with different valence state metals as anode and cathode, which encouraged us to explore overall water splitting catalysts.

## ASSOCIATED CONTENT

## **Supporting Information**

The synthesis process of  $CoO_x@CN$  in Scheme S1, STEM-EDS elemental maps of  $CoO_x@CN$ , high-resolution deconvoluted N 1s and  $Co_{2p}$  spectrum, double-layer capacitance measurements, Tafel plots, and the comparison of the electrocatalytic activity of  $CoO_x@CN$  to recently reported catalysts for HER and OER in basic solutions. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

## AUTHOR INFORMATION

## **Corresponding Author**

\*chemwy@zju.edu.cn

#### Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (21376208 and J1210042), the Zhejiang Provincial Natural Science Foundation for Distinguished Young Scholars of China (LR13B030001), the Specialized Research Fund for the Doctoral Program of Higher Education (J20130060), the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities, the Program for Zhejiang Leading Team of S&T Innovation, the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities, and the Partner Group Program of the Zhejiang University and the Max-Planck Society are greatly appreciated.

## REFERENCES

- (1) Bloor, L. G.; Molina, P. I.; Symes, M. D.; Cronin, L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2014, 136, 3304.
- (2) Maeda, K.; Teramura, K.; Lu, D. L.; Takata, T.; Saito, N.; Inoue, Y.; Domen, K. *Nature* **2006**, *440*, 295.
- (3) Park, S.; Shao, Y.; Liu, J.; Wang, Y. Energy Environ. Sci. 2012, 5, 9331.
- (4) Chen, S.; Qiao, S.-Z. ACS Nano 2013, 7, 10190.
- (5) Meyer, T. J. Nature 2008, 451, 778.
- (6) Jiao, F.; Frei, H. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2009, 48, 1841.
- (7) Robinson, D. M.; Go, Y. B.; Mui, M.; Gardner, G.; Zhang, Z.; Mastrogiovanni, D.; Garfunkel, E.; Li, J.; Greenblatt, M.; Dismukes, G. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2013**, 135, 3494.
- (8) Seitz, L. C.; Chen, Z.; Forman, A. J.; Pinaud, B. A.; Benck, J. D.; Jaramillo, T. F. ChemSusChem **2014**, *7*, 1372.
- (9) Zhang, M.; de Respinis, M.; Frei, H. Nat. Chem. 2014, 6, 362.
- (10) Trotochaud, L.; Ranney, J. K.; Williams, K. N.; Boettcher, S. W. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2012**, 134, 17253.
- (11) Shiraishi, Y.; Kofuji, Y.; Kanazawa, S.; Sakamoto, H.; Ichikawa, S.; Tanaka, S.; Hirai, T. Chem. Commun. **2014**, *50*, 15255.
- (12) Bai, S.; Wang, C.; Deng, M.; Gong, M.; Bai, Y.; Jiang, J.; Xiong, Y. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. **2014**, 53, 12120.
- (13) Shim, Y.; Young, R. M.; Douvalis, A. P.; Dyar, S. M.; Yuhas, B. D.; Bakas, T.; Wasielewski, M. R.; Kanatzidis, M. G. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2014**, *136*, 13371.
- (14) Das, R. K.; Wang, Y.; Vasilyeva, S. V.; Donoghue, E.; Pucher, I.; Kamenov, G.; Cheng, H.-P.; Rinzler, A. G. ACS Nano 2014, 8, 8447.
- (15) Sathe, B. R.; Zou, X.; Asefa, T. Catal. Sci. Technol. 2014, 4, 2023.
  (16) Yan, Y.; Ge, X.; Liu, Z.; Wang, J.-Y.; Lee, J.-M.; Wang, X. Nanoscale 2013, 5, 7768.
- (17) Yan, Y.; Xia, B.; Xu, Z.; Wang, X. ACS Catal. 2014, 4, 1693.
- (18) Hinnemann, B.; Moses, P. G.; Bonde, J.; Jørgensen, K. P.;
- Nielsen, J. H.; Horch, S.; Chorkendorff, I.; Nørskov, J. K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 5308.
- (19) Merki, D.; Fierro, S.; Vrubel, H.; Hu, X. Chem. Sci. 2011, 2, 1262.
- (20) Chen, Z.; Cummins, D.; Reinecke, B. N.; Clark, E.; Sunkara, M. K.; Jaramillo, T. F. *Nano Lett.* **2011**, *11*, 4168.
- (21) Kibsgaard, J.; Jaramillo, T. F.; Besenbacher, F. Nat. Chem. 2014, 6, 248.
- (22) Vrubel, H.; Hu, X. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 12703.
- (23) Wan, C.; Regmi, Y. N.; Leonard, B. M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2014, 53, 6407.
- (24) Xiao, P.; Yan, Y.; Ge, X.; Liu, Z.; Wang, J.-Y.; Wang, X. Appl. Catal., B 2014, 154–155, 232.
- (25) Lukowski, M. A.; Daniel, A. S.; English, C. R.; Meng, F.; Forticaux, A.; Hamers, R. J.; Jin, S. *Energy Environ. Sci.* **2014**, *7*, 2608.

- (26) Chen, W. F.; Sasaki, K.; Ma, C.; Frenkel, A. I.; Marinkovic, N.; Muckerman, J. T.; Zhu, Y.; Adzic, R. R. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2012**, *51*, 6131.
- (27) Shalom, M.; Gimenez, S.; Schipper, F.; Herraiz-Cardona, I.; Bisquert, J.; Antonietti, M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2014, 53, 3654.
- (28) Zheng, Y.; Jiao, Y.; Zhu, Y.; Li, L. H.; Han, Y.; Chen, Y.; Du, A.; Jaroniec, M.; Oiao, S. Z. Nat. Commun. 2014, 5, 3783.
- (29) Zeng, K.; Zhang, D. Prog. Energy Combust. Sci. 2010, 36, 307.
  (30) Hall, D. E. J. Electrochem. Soc. 1981, 128, 740.
- (31) McArthur, M. A.; Jorge, L.; Coulombe, S.; Omanovic, S. J. Power Sources 2014, 266, 365.
- (32) McCrory, C. C.; Jung, S.; Peters, J. C.; Jaramillo, T. F. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013, 135, 16977.
- (33) Zhao, Y.; Nakamura, R.; Kamiya, K.; Nakanishi, S.; Hashimoto, K. Nat. Commun. **2013**, *4*, 2390.
- (34) Lin, C.-H.; Chen, C.-L.; Wang, J.-H. J. Phys. Chem. C 2011, 115, 18582.
- (35) Yao Zheng, Y. J.; Jaroniec, Mietek; Shi Zhang, Qiao Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2014, 53, 2.
- (36) Lupi, C.; Dell'Era, A.; Pasquali, M. Int. J. Hydrogen Energy 2009, 34, 2101.
- (37) Zheng, Y.; Jiao, Y.; Jaroniec, M.; Qiao, S. Z. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2015, 54, 52.
- (38) Zou, X.; Huang, X.; Goswami, A.; Silva, R.; Sathe, B. R.; Mikmekova, E.; Asefa, T. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2014**, *53*, 4372.
- (39) Artero, V.; Chavarot-Kerlidou, M.; Fontecave, M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2011, 50, 7238.
- (40) Lei, H.; Han, A.; Li, F.; Zhang, M.; Han, Y.; Du, P.; Lai, W.; Cao, R. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **2014**, *16*, 1883.
- (41) Li, Y.; Malik, M. A.; O'Brien, P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 16020.
- (42) Ahn, H. S.; Davenport, T. C.; Tilley, T. D. Chem. Commun. 2014, 50, 3834.
- (43) Zhao, J.; Zou, Y.; Zou, X.; Bai, T.; Liu, Y.; Gao, R.; Wang, D.; Li, G. D. Nanoscale **2014**, *6*, 7255.
- (44) Liang, Y.; Li, Y.; Wang, H.; Zhou, J.; Wang, J.; Regier, T.; Dai, H. Nat. Mater. **2011**, *10*, 780.
- (45) Zou, X.; Goswami, A.; Asefa, T. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013, 135, 17242.
- (46) Mao, S.; Wen, Z.; Huang, T.; Hou, Y.; Chen, J. Energy Environ. Sci. 2014, 7, 609.
- (47) Liu, X.; Chang, Z.; Luo, L.; Xu, T.; Lei, X.; Liu, J.; Sun, X. Chem. Mater. 2014, 26, 1889.
- (48) Ma, T. Y.; Dai, S.; Jaroniec, M.; Qiao, S. Z. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2014, 136, 13925.
- (49) Esswein, A. J.; McMurdo, M. J.; Ross, P. N.; Bell, A. T.; Tilley, T. D. J. Phys. Chem. C 2009, 113, 15068.
- (50) Zhao, J.; Zou, Y.; Zou, X.; Bai, T.; Liu, Y.; Gao, R.; Wang, D.; Li, G.-D. Nanoscale **2014**, *6*, 7255.
- (51) Tueysuez, H.; Hwang, Y. J.; Khan, S. B.; Asiri, A. M.; Yang, P. Nano Res. 2013, 6, 47.
- (52) Koza, J. A.; He, Z.; Miller, A. S.; Switzer, J. A. Chem. Mater. 2012, 24, 3567.
- (53) Chae, H. K.; Siberio-Perez, D. Y.; Kim, J.; Go, Y.; Eddaoudi, M.; Matzger, A. J.; O'Keeffe, M.; Yaghi, O. M. *Nature* **2004**, 427, 523.
- (54) Chen, S.; Bi, J.; Zhao, Y.; Yang, L.; Zhang, C.; Ma, Y.; Wu, Q.; Wang, X.; Hu, Z. *Adv. Mater.* **2012**, *24*, 5593.
- (55) Ryoo, R.; Joo, S. H.; Kruk, M.; Jaroniec, M. Adv. Mater. 2001, 13, 677.
- (56) Dai, L.; Chang, D. W.; Baek, J.-B.; Lu, W. Small 2012, 8, 1130.
- (57) Wang, Y.; Zhang, H. J.; Lu, L.; Stubbs, L. P.; Wong, C. C.; Lin, J. ACS Nano 2010, 4, 4753.
- (58) Shi, P.; Su, R.; Wan, F.; Zhu, M.; Li, D.; Xu, S. Appl. Catal., B 2012, 123, 265.
- (59) Ma, T. Y.; Dai, S.; Jaroniec, M.; Qiao, S. Z. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2014, 136, 13925.
- (60) Liang, Y.; Li, Y.; Wang, H.; Zhou, J.; Wang, J.; Regier, T.; Dai, H. Nat. Mater. **2011**, *10*, 780.

- (62) Chen, S.; Duan, J.; Jaroniec, M.; Qiao, S. Z. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2013, 52, 13567.
- (63) Cobo, S.; Heidkamp, J.; Jacques, P.-A.; Fize, J.; Fourmond, V.; Guetaz, L.; Jousselme, B.; Ivanova, V.; Dau, H.; Palacin, S.; Fontecave, M.; Artero, V. *Nat. Mater.* **2012**, *11*, 802.
- (64) Zhang, C.; Antonietti, M.; Fellinger, T.-P. Adv. Funct. Mater. 2014, 24, 7655.
- (65) Terrones, M.; Redlich, P.; Grobert, N.; Trasobares, S.; Hsu, W.
- K.; Terrones, H.; Zhu, Y. Q.; Hare, J. P.; Reeves, C. L.; Cheetham, A.
- K.; Ruhle, M.; Kroto, H. W.; Walton, D. R. M. Adv. Mater. 1999, 11, 655.
- (66) Doblinger, M.; Lotsch, B. V.; Wack, J.; Thun, J.; Senker, J.; Schnick, W. Chem. Commun. 2009, 1541.
- (67) Deng, J.; Ren, P.; Deng, D.; Yu, L.; Yang, F.; Bao, X. Energy Environ. Sci. 2014, 7, 1919.
- (68) Kirk, D. W.; Thorpe, S. J.; Suzuki, H. Int. J. Hydrogen Energy 1997, 22, 493.
- (69) Ledendecker, M.; Clavel, G.; Antonietti, M.; Shalom, M. Adv. Funct. Mater. 2015, 25, 393.
- (70) Liao, L.; Wang, S.; Xiao, J.; Bian, X.; Zhang, Y.; Scanlon, M. D.;
- Hu, X.; Tang, Y.; Liu, B.; Girault, H. H. Energy Environ. Sci. 2014, 7, 387.
- (71) Xie, J.; Zhang, H.; Li, S.; Wang, R.; Sun, X.; Zhou, M.; Zhou, J.; Lou, X. W.; Xie, Y. *Adv. Mater.* **2013**, *25*, 5807.
- (72) Jin, H.; Xiong, T.; Li, Y.; Xu, X.; Li, M.; Wang, Y. Chem. Commun. 2014, 50, 12637.
- (73) Kim, S. Y.; Jeong, H. M.; Kwon, J. H.; Ock, I. W.; Suh, W. H.; Stucky, G. D.; Kang, J. K. *Energy Environ. Sci.* **2015**, *8*, 188.
- (74) LeRoy, R. L. Int. J. Hydrogen Energy 1983, 8, 401.
- (75) Bai, S.; Wang, L.; Chen, X.; Du, J.; Xiong, Y. Nano Res. 2015, 8, 175.
- (76) Xie, J.; Zhang, J.; Li, S.; Grote, F.; Zhang, X.; Zhang, H.; Wang, R.; Lei, Y.; Pan, B.; Xie, Y. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2013**, *135*, 17881.
- (77) Xu, Y.-F.; Gao, M.-R.; Zheng, Y.-R.; Jiang, J.; Yu, S.-H. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2013, 52, 8546.
- (78) Gong, M.; Zhou, W.; Tsai, M.-C.; Zhou, J.; Guan, M.; Lin, M.-C.; Zhang, B.; Hu, Y.; Wang, D.-Y.; Yang, J.; Pennycook, S. J.; Hwang,
- B.-J.; Dai, H. Nat. Commun. 2014, 5, 4695.
- (79) Sheng, W.; Myint, M.; Chen, J. G.; Yan, Y. *Energy Environ. Sci.* **2013**, *6*, 1509.
- (80) Petitto, S. C.; Marsh, E. M.; Carson, G. A.; Langell, M. A. J. Mol. Catal. A: Chem. 2008, 281, 49.
- (81) Xu, X. L.; Li, J. Q. Surf. Sci. 2011, 605, 1962.
- (82) Marceta Kaninski, M. P.; Nikolic, V. M.; Tasic, G. S.; Rakocevic, Z. L. Int. J. Hydrogen Energy 2009, 34, 703.
- (83) Zhu, J.; Shim, B. S.; Di Prima, M.; Kotov, N. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011, 133, 7450.
- (84) Tian, J.; Liu, Q.; Cheng, N.; Asiri, A. M.; Sun, X. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2014, 53, 9577.
- (85) Li, X.-H.; Antonietti, M. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2013, 42, 6593.
- (86) Xu, X.; Li, Y.; Gong, Y.; Zhang, P.; Li, H.; Wang, Y. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 16987.